Variation and change in transitivity alternations and argument realization in Italo-Romance (Michela Cennamo)

This paper discusses lexico-aspectual, thematic and discourse-pragmatic factors determining variation and change in the marking of the S argument in some (northern and southern) Italo-Romance varieties, focussing on two (in)transitivity alternations, auxiliary selection and postverbal subjects with one-argument verbs (and related agreement patterns), building on the insights from current analyses and discussion (Ledgeway 2019; 2023; Manzini & Savoia 2005; Cennamo 2010, Loporcaro 2016, among others for auxiliary selection; Parry 2000; 2013; Bentley 2018; 2020; Bentley & Cruschina 2018; Poletto & Tortora 2016, Cennamo 2023, among others for postverbal S) and from a gradient model of the lexico-aspectual and thematic effects on argument realization as instantiated by the S(plit) I(ntransitivity) H(ierarchy) put forward by Sorace (2000; 2010; 2015).

It will be shown that the same parameters affecting uniformity and variation in the selection of perfective auxiliaries with one-argument verbs in some northern (Biellese, Paduan) and southern (Campanian) Italo-Romance varieties (namely the degree of aspectual specification of verbs and of thematic specification of the S argument), also mold variation in another (in)transitivity alternation, postverbal subjects with monadic verbs with the S argument in a number of northern Italo-Romance dialects (eg, Ligurian, Piedmontese, Emilian-Romagnol), interacting with an additional feature, the (in)definiteness of the S argument, instantiated also by its lexical vs pronominal realization, in determining agreement options of the finite verb with the postverbal S (Bentley 2018; Bentley & Cennamo 2022). In the latter domain, however, in other dialects (e.g., inner Cilentano) semantic constraints appear to play no role in the distribution of agreement in VS patterns and there is instead a neat divide between unergative verbs (displaying ±AGR of the finite V with S, the past participle always occurring in the non-agreeing form (e.g., kiru ana/a abballatu tutti 'All the people danced') and unaccusatives (exhibiting a three-way contrast, involving both the finite verb and the past participle), with the agreeing forms reflecting the d-link status of the postverbal S (kiru a ffenuta la farina vs kiru a ffenutu la farina 'We have run out of flour'), as in two varieties of Veneto Lagunare (ze finìa a farina vs ze finìo a farina 'We have run out of flour') (Schaefer 2020: 7).

In areas where variation is very unstable, patterns of change in progress may be detected in both types of alternations, concerning the introduction and cancellation of a split intransitive system marked through auxiliary selection (as in some Campanian and north eastern Piedmontese areas) and the spread of agreement with postverbal S, with the ensuing retrenchment/loss of the non-agreement pattern, sensitive to gradient lexico-aspectual, thematic and pragmatic features, as in some Ligurian, Piedmontese and Emilian – Romagnol varieties) (Parry 2000; 2005; 2013; Bentley 2018; Bentley & Cennamo 2022).

The data analysed therefore, show both categoriality and gradience in argument realization in the domains investigated, at times coexisting. The principled variation displayed by the data appear to be insighfully accounted for by empirical generalizations such as the SIH proposed by Sorace (2000) and the typology of S(ubject) of P(redication) put forward by Bentley & Cruschina (2018).

References

Bentley, Delia. 2018. Grammaticalization of subject agreement on evidence from Italo-Romance, *Linguistics* 56/6:1245-1301.

____ 2020. On thetic broad focus. *Studii și cercetări lingvistice* LXXI/1: 5-23.

- Bentley, Delia, & Cennamo, Michela. 2022. Thematic and lexico-aspectual constraints on V-S agreement. In Adam Ledgeway, John Charles Smith & Nigel Vincent (eds), *Periphrasis and Inflection in Diachrony. A view from Romance*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 335-361).
- Bentley, Delia & Cruschina, Silvio. 2018. The silent argument of broad focus: typology and predictions. *Glossa. A Journal of General Linguistics* 3/1,118: 1-37.
- Cardinaletti, Anna. 2004. Toward a cartography of subject positions. In Luigi Rizzi (ed.), *The Structure of CP and IP: The Cartography of Syntactic Structures. Vol.* 2. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 115–65.
- Cennamo, Michela. 2010. 'Perfective auxiliaries in the pluperfect in some southern Italian dialects'. In Roberta D'Alessandro, Adam Ledgeway & Ian Roberts (eds), *Syntactic Variation: The Dialects of Italy*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 210-24.
- _____. 2023. Deictic expletives with existential and presentative constructions in some inner Cilento varieties: a preliminary investigation. *VII Convegno Internazionale "Dialetti: per parlare e parlarne" CID Centro In±ternazionale di Dialettologia*. Potenza-Università degli Studi della Basilicata, 20–22 April 2023.
- Ledgeway, Adam. 2019. Parameters in the development of Romance perfective auxiliary selection. In Michela Cennamo & Claudia Fabrizio (eds), Historical Linguistics 2015. Selected Papers from the 22nd International Conference on Historical Linguistics, Naples, 27–31 July 2015. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: Benjamins, 343–84.
- ____. 2023. Residues and Extensions of Perfective Auxiliary BE: Modal Conditioning. *Languages* 7: 160, 1-37. Loporcaro, Michele. 2014. Perfective auxiliation in Italo-Romance. The complementarity of historical and modern cross-dialectal evidence. In Paola Benincà, Adam Ledgeway, Nigel Vincent (eds), *Diahrony and Dialects. Grammatical Change in the Dialects of Italy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 48-70.
- ____. 2016. Auxiliary selection and participial agreement. In Adam Ledgeway & Martin Maiden (eds), *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 802-818.
- Manzini, Maria Rita & Savoia, Leonardo. 2005. I Dialetti Italiani e Romanci. Morfosintassi Generativa. Vol. I, Alessandria: Dell'Orso.
- Parry, Mair. 2000. Accordo e soggetti postverbali in piemontese'. In *Actes du XXIIe Congrès International de Lingüistique e Philologie Romane*, Bruxelles 1998, VI. *De la Grammaire des Formes à la Grammaire du Sens*. Tübingen: Niemeyer, 391–402.
- ____. 2005. Parluma 'd Coiri. Sociolinguistica e Grammatica del Dialetto di Cairo Montenotte. Savona: Editrice Liguria.
- _____. 2013. Variation and change in the presentational constructions of north-western Italo- Romance varieties, in E. van Gelderen, M. Cennamo, J. Barðdal (eds.), *Argument Structure in Flux: the Naples/Capri Papers*, Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 511–548
- Pescarini, Diego. 2014. I clitici soggetto espletivi nei dialetti settentrionali: una tipologia dei contesti impersonali. L'Italia Dialettale 75: 229-246.
- ____ 2016. Clitic pronominal systems: morphonology, in Adam Ledgeway & Martin Maiden (eds), *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 742-760.
- Poletto, Cecilia & Cristina Tortora. 2016. Subject clitics: syntax. In Adam Ledgeway & Martin Maiden (eds), *The Oxford Guide to the Romance Languages*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, 772-785.
- Schaefer, Silvia. 2020. The morpho-syntactic encoding of discourse-linked topics: an agreement alternation in inversion in North-Eastern Italian varieties. *Glossa: a Journal of General Linguistics* 5.1: 96: 1-24.
- Sorace, Antonella. 2000. Gradience in auxiliary selection with intransitive verbs. *Language* 76: 859-90.
- _____. 2004. Gradience at the lexicon-syntax interface: evidence from auxiliary selection and implications for unaccusativity. In Artemis Alexiadou, Elena Anagnostopoulou & Martin Everaert (eds), *The Unaccusativity Puzzle. Explorations of the Syntax-Lexicon Interface*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 243-68.
- _____. 2010. Gradience in Split Intransitivity: the end of the Unaccusative Hypothesis?. *Archivio Glottologico Italiano* XCVI, 1: 67-86.
- ____. 2015. The cognitive complexity of auxiliary selection: from processing to grammaticality judgements. In Malte Rosemeyer & Ralf Kailuweit 2015 (eds), *Auxiliary Selection Revisited: Gradience and Gradualness*. Berlin: De Gruyter, 23-42.